

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,650	09/29/2000	Ursula Bussc	1619.0080001/SRL/TBB	1619.0080001/SRL/TBB 1706	
26111 7	7590 06/02/2003				
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. I, DC 20005		YU, MIS	YU, MISOOK	
	,	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	7.7	
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/675,650	BUSSE ET AL.				
, and the second	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 April 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	rially raduaing or ain	anlifiting the			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: 7 additional claims are added without cancelling a single claim.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 102 (a).						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,4-6,9-12 and 24-27</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:			9 1			
,						
		Misook Yu, 5-21-20)03			
Carlo Lavorance						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rejection of claim 3 is maintained because applicant argues with non-entered amendment. See above why the proposed amendment is not entered.

The 102 (a) rejection of claims 3, 24, and 27 is withdrawn because applicant's argument is persuasive, i.e., the 102 (a) art of record was published after the priority date.

MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800;

2